arXiv:math/0309228v2 [math.CV] 6 May 2004

TOWARDS AN EFFECTIVISATION OF THE RIEMANN THEOREM

S.Natanzon

Moscow State University, Independent University of
Moscow, Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics

Abstract

Let Q be a connected and simply connected domain on the Riemann sphere, not coinciding with the Riemann sphere and with the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Then, according to the Riemann Theorem, there exists a conformal bijection between Q and the exterior of the unit disk. In this paper we find an explicit form of this map for a broad class of domains with analytic boundaries.

2000 Math. Sabj. Class. 30C, 37K.

1. Introduction.

Let Q be a connected and simply connected domain on the Riemann sphere, not coinciding with the Riemann sphere and with the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Then, according to Riemann Theorem, there exists a conformal bijection between Q and the exterior of the unit disk $U = \{u \in \bar{\mathbb{C}} || u| > 1\}$. In this paper we find an explicit form of this map for a broad class of domains with analytic boundaries.

Without loss of generally it is possible to assume that $\infty \in Q - \partial Q$ and $0 \in Q_\circ$, where $Q_\circ = \bar{\mathbb{C}} - (Q \cup \partial Q)$ and ∂Q is the boundary of Q. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be the set of all such domains. The harmonic moments

$$t_0 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int\limits_{Q_\circ} dxdy, \quad t_k = -\frac{1}{\pi k} \int\limits_{Q} z^{-k} dxdy \quad (k = 1, 2...) \tag{1.1}$$

form a coordinate system $t = (t_0, t_1, t_2, ...)$ on a considerable part of $\mathbb{H}$ [2, 14]. Note that the evolution of $Q_\circ$ as $t_0 \to 0$ and $t_i = const$ for $i > 1$ describes the evolution of a gas bubble surrounded by luquid [1].

Let $Q^t \in \mathbb{H}$ be the domain corresponding to t. The conditions $\partial_z w_{Q^t}(\infty) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\partial_z w_{Q^t}(\infty) > 0$ uniquely define the conformal bijection from Q^t to U:

$$w(z,t) = w_{Q^t}(z) = p(t)z + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(t) z^{-j}, \qquad p(t) \in \mathbb{R}, p(t) > 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Our goal is to represent $p_j(t)$ as a Taylor series in t and $\bar t$.

Put

$$\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_i}, \quad \bar\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar t_i}, \quad D(z) = \sum_{k\geqslant 1} \frac{z^{-k}}{k}\partial_k, \bar D(\bar z) = \sum_{k\geqslant 1} \frac{\bar z^{-k}}{k}\bar\partial_k \tag{1.3}$$

In §1 we reproduce, following [3,15,16], an important result [4]: the Riemann maps have a potential $F : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ (termed the tau-function of the curves in [11]) such that

$$w(z,t) = zexp((-\frac{1}{2}\partial_0^2 - \partial_0 D(z))F(t)) \tag{1.4}$$

and, moreover, F satisfies the differential equations

$$(z-\xi)e^{D(z)D(\xi)F} = ze^{-\partial_0 D(z)F} - \xi e^{-\partial_0 D(\xi)F}, \tag{1.5(a)}$$

$$(\bar z-\bar\xi)e^{\bar D(\bar z)\bar D(\bar\xi)F} = \bar z e^{-\partial_0 \bar D(\bar z)F} - \bar\xi e^{-\partial_0 \bar D(\bar\xi)F}, \tag{1.5(b)}$$

$$1 - e^{-D(z)\bar D(\bar\xi)F} = \frac{1}{z\bar\xi} e^{\partial_0(\partial_0 + D(z) + \bar D(\bar\xi))F}. \tag{1.5(c)}$$

This system of nonlinear differential equations is well known in mathematical physics and in theory of integrable systems as the dispersionless limit of the $2D$ Toda hierarchy [5]. The solution $F(t)$ satisfies some additional equation, and it appears in string theory as the "string solution" [6]. The string solution of the dispersionless limit of the $2D$ Toda hierarchy appears also in matrix models and in some other problems of mathematical physics [7,14]. Thus, a description of it has an independent interest.

In §2 we find, following [8], recursive formulas for coefficients of the Taylor series for the potential

$$F = \sum N(i|i_1\dots,i_k|\bar i_1\dots,\bar i_k)t_0^i t_{i_1}\cdots t_{i_k}\bar t_{\bar i_{\bar 1}}\cdots \bar t_{\bar i_{\bar k}}. \tag{1.6}$$

These formulas together with (1.4) allow one to find the Riemann maps via harmonic moments of the domains.

In §3 we find, following [9], a sufficient condition for the convergence of the Taylor series (1.6).

I acknowledge useful discussions with S. P. Novikov, A. Marshakov, A. Zabrodin. The work was partially supported by the grants RFBR 02-01-22004a and INTAS-00-0259. The final of this paper was written during the author stay at Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn. I should like to thank this institution for its support and hospitality.

2. The potential for Riemann maps.

The bijection $w : Q \to U$ generates the Dirichlet Green function on $Q \times Q$,

$$G_Q(z,\xi) = \log\left|\frac{w(z) - w(\xi)}{w(z)\bar w(\xi) - 1}\right|. \tag{2.1}$$

It solves the Dirichlet problem in Q: the formula

$$u(z) = -\frac{1}{2\pi}\oint_{\partial Q} u_0(\xi)\partial_n G_Q(z,\xi)|d\xi| \tag{2.2}$$

restores a harmonic function from its boundary values $u_0 = u|_{\partial D}$. Here ∂_n denotes the derivative along the internal normal to the boundary ∂Q with respect to the second variable, and $|d\xi|$ is an infinitesimal element of length along ∂Q.

The Dirichlet Green function is uniquely determined by the following properties [10].

$$G_Q(z,\xi) \text{ is symmetric and harmonic in both arguments except the line } z=\xi$$

$$\text{everywhere in } Q, \text{ where } G_Q(z,\xi) = \log|z-\xi| + O(1) \text{ as } z \to \xi; \tag{2.3(a)}$$

$$G_Q(z,\xi) = 0 \text{ if both variables } z,\xi \text{ belong to the boundary.} \tag{2.3(b)}$$

Denote by $\mathbb{H}_z$ the set of all domains $Q \in \mathbb{H}$ containing z. The infinitesimal shift of the boundary $\partial Q = \{\xi\}$ on $-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\partial_n G_Q(z,\xi)$ $(\varepsilon \to 0)$ generates a vector field δ_z on $\mathbb{H}_z$. In particular,

$$\delta_z(t_k) = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon\pi k}\oint_{\partial Q} \xi^{-k}\left(-\frac{\varepsilon}{2}\partial_n G_Q(z,\xi)\right)|d\xi| = \frac{z^k}{k} \quad (k>0) \qquad\qquad \delta_z(t_0) = 1. \tag{2.4}$$

Thus, for any functional $X : \mathbb{H}_z \to \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\delta_z X = \frac{\partial X}{\partial t_0}\delta_z t_0 + \sum \frac{\partial X}{\partial t_k}\delta_z t_k + \sum \frac{\partial X}{\partial \bar{t}_k}\delta_z \bar{t}_k = \nabla(z)X, \tag{2.5}$$

where $\nabla(z) = \partial_0 + D(z) + \bar{D}(\bar{z})$.

Put $v_0 = \frac{2}{\pi}\int\limits_{Q_\circ} \log|z| dxdy$ and $v_k = \frac{1}{\pi}\int\limits_{Q_\circ} z^k dxdy$ for $k>0$.

Theorem 2.1. [4,11,16]. *The function* $F(t) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\iint\limits_{Q^t_\circ Q^t_\circ} \log|\eta^{-1} - \nu^{-1}| dx_\eta dy_\eta dx_\nu dy_\nu$ *(where* $Q^t_\circ = \bar{\mathbb{C}} - Q^t$*) satisfies the equations* (1.4) *and* (1.5)*. Moreover,* $\partial_k F = v_k$.

Proof: It follows from (2.2) and from the definition of δ_z that the function

$$\tilde{G}_Q(z,\xi) = \log\left|\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{\xi}\right| + \frac{1}{2}\delta_z\delta_\xi F \tag{2.6}$$

satisfies (2.3). Thus, $\tilde{G}_Q(z,\xi) = G_Q(z,\xi)$. Using (2.1) and (2.5), we find that

$$\log\left|\frac{w(z)-w(\xi)}{w(z)\bar{w}(\xi)-1}\right| = \log\left|\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{\xi}\right| + \frac{1}{2}\nabla(z)\nabla(\xi)F \tag{2.7}$$

This equation implies equations (1.4) and (1.5). Indeed, it implies

$$h = \log\left|\frac{w(z)-w(\xi)}{w(z)\bar{w}(\xi)-1}\right|^2 - \log\left|\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{\xi}\right|^2 - \nabla(z)\nabla(\xi)F = 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Furthermore, we have $h = h_1 + h_2$ where

$$h_1 = \log\left(\frac{w(z) - w(\xi)}{w(z)\bar{w}(\xi) - 1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{1}{z} - \frac{1}{\xi}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_0 + D(z)\right)\nabla(\xi)F \tag{2.9}$$

is a holomorphic function of z while

$$h_2 = \log\left(\frac{\bar{w}(z) - \bar{w}(\xi)}{\bar{w}(z)w(\xi) - 1}\right) - \log\left(\frac{1}{\bar{z}} - \frac{1}{\bar{\xi}}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\partial_0 + \bar{D}(\bar{z})\right)\nabla(\xi)F \tag{2.10}$$

is an antiholomorphic function of z. Thus, h_1 is independent of z. Passing on to the limit as $z \to \infty$, we obtain

$$h_1 = \log\left(\frac{1}{\bar{w}(\xi)}\right) - \log\left(-\frac{1}{\xi}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_0\nabla(\xi)F. \tag{2.11}$$

Thus,

$$\log\left(\frac{w(z) - w(\xi)}{z - \xi}\frac{z\bar{w}(\xi)}{w(z)\bar{w}(\xi) - 1}\right) = D(z)\nabla(\xi)F. \tag{2.12}$$

Passing on to the limit as $\xi \to \infty$ in (2.12), we obtain $\log\left(\frac{pz}{w(z)}\right) = D(z)\partial_0 F$. Substituting (1.2) in (2.7) and passing on to the limit as $z \to \infty, \xi \to \infty$, we obtain $\log(p) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_0^2 F$. The comparison of these formulas yields

$$\log\left(\frac{z}{w(z)}\right) = D(z)\partial_0 F + \frac{1}{2}\partial_0^2 F, \tag{2.13}$$

which is equivalent to (1.4).

Using (2.13), we transform the holomorphic parts of (2.12) into

$$\log\left(\frac{w(z) - w(\xi)}{z - \xi}\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_0^2 F + D(z)D(\xi)F. \tag{2.14}$$

Substituting (1.4) into (2.14) leads to (1.5(a)). Changing (z, ξ) to $(\bar{z}, \bar{\xi})$ in the proof we obtain (1.5(b)).

The antiholomorphic part of (2.12) is

$$-\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{w(z)\bar{w}(\xi)}\right) = D(z)\bar{D}(\bar{\xi})F. \tag{2.15}$$

After substituting (1.4) into (2.15) we obtain (1.5(c)).

Moreover, if $z \in \partial Q$, then

$$\nabla(z)F = \delta_z F = -\frac{2}{\pi}\int\limits_{Q_\circ^t(t)} \log|\nu^{-1} - z^{-1}| d\nu d\bar{\nu} = v_0 + 2Re\sum_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{v_k}{k}z^{-k}.$$

Thus, $\partial_k F = v_k$. $\Box$

3. The Taylor series for the potential.

In this section we find, following [8], recursive formulas for the coefficients N of the Taylor series $F = \sum N(i|i_1\ldots,i_k|\bar{i}_1\ldots,\bar{i}_k)t_0^i t_{i_1}\cdots t_{i_k}\bar{t}_{\bar{i}_1}\cdots\bar{t}_{\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}}$ for the potential F.

The formulas for N are found according to the following scheme. At first, using some combinatorial calculations, we transform Equation (1.5(a)) into an infinite system of equations

$$\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\cdots\partial_{i_k}F \;=$$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_m=i_1+\cdots+i_k\\ \ell_1+\cdots+\ell_m=m+k-2\\ s_j,\ell_j\geqslant 1}} \frac{i_1\cdots i_k}{s_1\cdots s_m}T_{i_1\cdots i_k}\begin{pmatrix} s_1\cdots s_m\\ \ell_1\cdots\ell_m\end{pmatrix}\partial_0^{\ell_1}\partial_{s_1}F\cdots\partial_0^{\ell_m}\partial_{s_m}F\right). \tag{3.1}$$

During this process we find some recursive formulas for T.

Then, using the definition of F as a function on the space of analytic curves, we find that $\partial_0 F\,|_{t_0} = -t_0 + t_0\ln t_0 + \mathit{const}$ and $\partial_k F\,|_{t_0} = 0$, if $k > 0$,
where here and later $|_{t_0}$ means the restriction of a function to the straight line $t_1 = \bar{t}_1 = t_2 = \bar{t}_2 = \cdots = 0$.

Because of this formula and from Equation (1.5(c)) it follows that

$$\partial_i\bar{\partial}_j F\,|_{t_0} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j,\\ it_0^i, & \text{if } i = j\ .\end{cases}$$

Later, using (3.1) and the symmetry of the equations (1.5) we find, that

$$\partial_i\bar{\partial}_{i_1}\cdots\bar{\partial}_{i_k}F\,|_{t_0} = \bar{\partial}_i\partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_k}F\,|_{t=0} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i_1+\cdots+i_k \neq i,\\ i_1\cdots i_k\frac{i!}{(i-k+1)!}t_0^{i-k+1}, & \text{if } i_1+\cdots+i_k = i.\end{cases}$$

This condition and Equation (3.1) give some recursive formulas for the coefficients N. As the final result we get

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose the formal series* (1.6) *satisfies in its domain of convergence Equations* (1.4) *and* (1.5). *Then it has the form, up to a linear summand,*

$$F \;=\; \frac{1}{2}\,t_0^2\,\log t_0 \;-\; \frac{3}{4}\,t_0^2 + \sum_{\substack{k,\bar{k},n_r,\bar{n}_r\geqslant 1\\ 0<i_1<\cdots<i_k\\ 0<\bar{i}_1<\cdots<\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\\ i-(n_1+\cdots+n_k+\bar{n}_1+\cdots+\bar{n}_{\bar{k}})+2\geqslant 0}} \frac{i_1^{n_1}\ldots i_k^{n_k}}{n_1!\ldots n_k!}\,\frac{\bar{i}_1^{\bar{n}_1}\ldots\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}^{\bar{n}_{\bar{k}}}}{\bar{n}_1!\ldots\bar{n}_{\bar{k}}!}\cdot$$

$$\cdot N_i^2\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} i_1, & \ldots, & i_k & \bar{i}_1, & \ldots, & \bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\\ n_1, & \ldots, & n_k & \bar{n}_1, & \ldots, & \bar{n}_{\bar{k}}\end{array}\right) t_0^{i-(n_1+\cdots+n_k+\bar{n}_1+\cdots+\bar{n}_{\bar{k}})+2}\,t_{i_1}^{n_1}\ldots t_{i_k}^{n_k}\,\bar{t}_{\bar{i}_1}^{\bar{n}_1}\ldots\bar{t}_{\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}}^{\bar{n}_{\bar{k}}}$$

where the coefficients N^2 can be found by the following recursive rules:

1) $P_{i,j}(s_1,\dots,s_m) \;=\; \#\,\{(i_1,\dots,i_m) \mid i=i_1+\dots+i_m,\ 1\leqslant i_r\leqslant s_r-1\}$, *where* $\#V$ *is the cardinality of the set* V*;*

2) $T^1_{i,j}(s_1,\dots,s_m) \;=$

$$\sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 1\\ n_1+\dots+n_k=m\\ n_r\geqslant 1}} \tfrac{1}{kn_1!\dots n_k!}\ P_{i,j}\left(\underbrace{s_1+\dots+s_{n_1}}_{n_1},\dots,\underbrace{s_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}+1}+\dots+s_{n_1+\dots+n_k}}_{n_k}\right);$$

$$T^2_{i_1,i_2}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ 1, & \dots, & 1\end{pmatrix} \;=\; T^1_{i_1,i_2}(s_1,\dots,s_m);$$

$$T^2_{i_1,\dots,i_k}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix} \;=$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m\\ s,l\geqslant 1}} l\ T^1_{s,i_k}(s_i,\dots,s_j)\ T^2_{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_{i-1}, & s, & s_{j+1}, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_{i-1}, & l, & l_{j+1}, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix},$$

where $s=s_i+\dots+s_j-i_k,\ l=(l_i-1)+\dots+(l_j-1)$;

3) $S_{\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix} \;=$

$$=\sum_{\substack{\{\bar i_1^1,\dots,\bar i_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\dots\sqcup\{\bar i_m^1,\dots,\bar i_m^{n_m}\}=\{\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}\}\\ \bar i_r^1+\dots+\bar i_r^{n_r}=s_r\\ s_r-n_r-\ell_r+1\geqslant 0}} \tfrac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1-l_1+1)!(l_1-1)!}\times\dots\times\ \tfrac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m-l_m+1)!(l_m-1)!}\ ;$$

4) $N^1_i(i_1,\dots,i_k|\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}) \;=\; 0$, *if* $i\neq i_1+\dots+i_k$ *or* $i\neq \bar i_1+\dots+\bar i_{\bar k}$;

in the other cases

$N^1_i(i|\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}) \;=\; \tfrac{(i-1)!}{(i-\bar k+1)!}$; $\quad N^1_i(i_1,\dots,i_k|\bar i) \;=\; \tfrac{(i-1)!}{(i-k+1)!}$;

$$N^1_i(i_1,\dots,i_k|\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}) \;=$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+\dots+i_k\\ l_1+\dots+l_m=m+k-2\\ s_r,l_r\geqslant 1}} (-1)^{m+1}\ S_{\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix}\ \ T^2_{i_1,\dots,i_k}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix}\ ,$$ *if*

$k,\bar k>1$;

$$N^2_i\left(\begin{matrix} i_1, & \dots, & i_k\\ n_1, & \dots, & n_k\end{matrix}\,\middle|\,\begin{matrix}\bar i_1, & \dots, & \bar i_{\bar k}\\ \bar n_1, & \dots, & \bar n_{\bar k}\end{matrix}\right) \;=$$

$$=\;N^1_i\left(\underbrace{i_1,\dots,i_1}_{n_1},\dots,\underbrace{i_k,\dots,i_k}_{n_k}\,\middle|\,\underbrace{\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_1}_{\bar n_1},\dots,\underbrace{\bar i_{\bar k},\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}}_{\bar n\bar k}\right)\ .\ \square$$

The theorem follows from the lemmas below.

Lemma 3.1. *The following relations hold*

$$z-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}z^{-j}\partial_1\partial_jF=ze^{-\partial_0D(z)}F$$

$$\partial_1\partial_jF=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m!}\sum_{\substack{k_1+\cdots+k_m=j+1\\ k_i>0}}\frac{j}{k_1\cdots k_m}\partial_0\partial_{k_1}F\cdots\partial_0\partial_{k_m}F.$$

Proof: According to (1.5(a)), $(z-\xi)e^{D(z)D(\xi)F}=(z-\xi)(1+(D(z)D(\xi)F)+\frac{1}{2}(D(z)D(\xi)F)^2+\cdots)=(z-\xi)(1+z^{-1}\xi^{-1}\partial_1^2F+z^{-1}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}\xi^{-j}\partial_1\partial_jF+\xi^{-1}\sum\limits_{j=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}z^{-j}\partial_1\partial_jF+z^{-2}\xi^{-2}f)=(z-\xi)+\xi^{-1}\partial_1^2F-z^{-1}\partial_1^2F+\sum\limits_{j=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}\xi^{-j}\partial_1\partial_jF-\sum\limits_{j=2}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}z^{-j}\partial_1\partial_jF+z^{-1}\xi^{-1}f.$

On the other hand, according to (1.5(a)) the function $(z-\xi)e^{D(z)D(\xi)F}$ is a sum of two functions $f_1(z)+f_2(\xi)$. Thus, $f=0$ and $ze^{-\partial_0D(z)F}=z-\sum\limits_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}z^{-j}\partial_1\partial_jF$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}z^{-(j+1)}\partial_1\partial_jF=1-e^{-\partial_0D(z)F}=1-\big(1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-\partial_0D(z)F)^m}{m!}\big)=$$

$$-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{z^{-k}}{k}\partial_0\partial_kF\Big)^m=$$

$$=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}z^{-n}\sum_{k_1+\cdots+k_m=n}\frac{1}{k_1\dots k_m}\partial_0\partial_{k_1}F\cdots\partial_0\partial_{k_m}F\Big)=$$

$$=-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}z^{-n}\Big(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\sum_{k_1+\cdots+k_m=n}\frac{1}{k_1\dots k_m}\partial_0\partial_{k_1}F\cdots\partial_0\partial_{k_m}F\Big).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{1}{j}\partial_1\partial_jF=-\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^m}{m!}\sum_{k_1+\cdots+k_m=j+1}\frac{1}{k_1\dots k_m}\partial_0\partial_{k_1}F\cdots\partial_0\partial_{k_m}F.\Box$$

Lemma 3.2. *The following relation holds*

$$\partial_i\partial_jF=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_m=j+1\\ s_i>1}}$$

$$\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m}\frac{ij}{(s_1-1)\cdots(s_m-1)}P_{ij}(s_1-1,...,s_m-1)\cdot\partial_1\partial_{s_1-1}F\cdots\partial_1\partial_{s_m-1}F,$$

where $P_{ij}(s_1-1,...,s_m-1)$ *is the number of representations of the form* $\{i=i_1+\cdots+i_m|1\leqslant i_k\leqslant s_k-1,\ k=1,\cdots,m\}$ *for the number* i*.*

Proof: According to Lemma 3.1 and Equation (1.5(a)), $(z-\xi)e^{D(z)D(\xi)F}=$

$$=z-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}z^{-j}\partial_1\partial_jF-(\xi-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}\xi^{-j}\partial_1\partial_jF)=(z-\xi)-\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}(z^{-j}-\xi^{-j})\partial_1\partial_jF.$$

Thus,

$$e^{D(z)D(\xi)}=1+z^{-1}\xi^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}\frac{(z^{-j}-\xi^{-j})}{(z^{-1}-\xi^{-1})}\partial_1\partial_jF=$$

$$=1+z^{-1}\xi^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}(\sum_{\substack{s+t=j-1\\ s,t\geqslant 0}}z^{-s}\xi^{-t})\partial_1\partial_jF=$$

$$=1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{j}(\sum_{\substack{s+t=j+1\\ s,t\geqslant 1}}z^{-s}\xi^{-t})\partial_1\partial_jF.$$

Therefore,

$$D(z)D(\xi)F=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m}\Big(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(\sum_{\substack{s+t=n+1\\ s,t\geqslant 1}}z^{-s}\xi^{-t})\frac{1}{n}\partial_1\partial_nF\Big)^m=$$

$$=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m}\sum_{i,j\geqslant 1}z^{-i}\xi^{-j}\cdot$$

$$\Big(\sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_m=i\\ j_1+\cdots j_m=j\\ i_k,j_k\geqslant 1}}\frac{1}{i_1+j_1-1}\partial_1\partial_{i_1+j_1-1}F\cdots\frac{1}{i_m+j_m-1}\partial_1\partial_{i_m+j_m-1}F\Big),$$

that is

$$\partial_i\partial_jF=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_m=i+j}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m}\frac{ij}{(s_1-1)\cdots(s_m-1)}\cdot$$

$$\cdot P_{ij}(s_1-1,...,s_m-1)\partial_1\partial_{s_1-1}F\cdots\partial_1\partial_{s_m-1}F.\qquad\square$$

Remark. The equations

$$\partial_i\partial_j F=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_m=i+j}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m}\frac{ij}{(s_1-1)\cdots(s_m-1)}\cdot$$

$$\cdot P_{ij}(s_1-1,...,s_m-1)\partial_1\partial_{s_1-1}F\cdots\partial_1\partial_{s_m-1}F$$

describe the dispersionless limit of the KP equation. Another description of this hierarchy is presented in [12]. A comparison of these descriptions gives some nontrivial combinatorial identity for P_{ij}.

Lemma 3.3. *The following relation holds*

$$\partial_i\partial_j F=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p_1+\cdots+p_m=j+i}\frac{ij}{p_1\cdots p_m}T_{ij}(p_1\cdots p_m)\partial_0\partial_{p_1}F\cdots\partial_0\partial_{p_m}F,$$

where
$T_{ij}(p_1...p_m) = \sum\limits_{\substack{n_1+\cdots+n_k=m\\ n_i>0}} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{k}\frac{1}{n_1!\cdots n_k!}P_{ij}(p_1+\cdots+p_{q_1}-1,...,p_{q_{k-1}+1}+\cdots+p_{q_k}-1)$, *and* $q_j=\sum\limits_{i=1}^{j}n_i$.

Proof: According to Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,

$$\partial_i\partial_j F=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_m=j+i}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m}\frac{ij}{(s_1-1)\cdots(s_m-1)}P_{ij}(s_1-1,\cdots,s_m-1)\cdot$$

$$\cdot\partial_1\partial_{s_1-1}F\cdots\partial_1\partial_{s_m-1}F)=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{s_1+\cdots+s_m=j+i}\frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{m}\frac{ij}{(s_1-1)\cdots(s_m-1)}\cdot$$

$$\cdot P_{ij}(s_1-1,\cdots,s_m-1)\Big(\sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p_1+\cdots+p_{n_1}=s_1}\frac{(-1)^{n_1+1}}{n_1!}\frac{s_1-1}{p_1\cdots p_{n_1}}\partial_0\partial_{p_1}F\cdots\partial_0\partial_{p_{n_1}}F\Big)\cdots$$

$$\cdots\Big(\sum_{n_m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p_1+\cdots+p_{n_m}=s_m}\frac{(-1)^{n_m+1}}{n_m!}\frac{s_m-1}{p_1\cdots p_{n_m}}\partial_0\partial_{p_1}F\cdots\partial_0\partial_{p_{n_m}}F\Big)=$$

$$=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\sum_{p_1+\cdots+p_m=j+i}\frac{ij}{p_1\cdots p_m}T_{ij}(p_1\cdots p_m)\partial_0\partial_{p_1}F\cdots\partial_0\partial_{p_m}F.\square$$

By induction, we get from Lemma 3.3

Lemma 3.4. *The following relation holds*

$$\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\cdots\partial_{i_k}F=\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_m=i_1+\cdots+i_k\\ \ell_1+\cdots+\ell_m=m+k-2\\ s_j,\ell_j\geqslant 1}}\frac{i_1\cdots i_k}{s_1\cdots s_m}\cdot$$

$$\cdot T_{i_1\cdots i_k}\begin{pmatrix}s_1\cdots s_m\\ \ell_1\cdots \ell_m\end{pmatrix}\partial_0^{\ell_1}\partial_{s_1}F\cdots\partial_0^{\ell_m}\partial_{s_m}F\Big),$$

$$\textit{where}\quad T_{i_1,i_2}\begin{pmatrix}s_1\cdots s_m\\ \ell_1\cdots \ell_m\end{pmatrix}=\begin{cases}T_{i_1i_2}(s_1\cdots s_m), & \textit{if } \ell_1=\cdots=\ell_m=1\\ 0 & \textit{otherwise}\end{cases},$$

$$T_{i_1\cdots i_k}\begin{pmatrix}s_1\cdots s_m\\ \ell_1\cdots \ell_m\end{pmatrix}=\sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m\\ s,\ell>0}}T_{i_1\cdots i_{k-1}}\begin{pmatrix}s_1\cdots s_{i-1} & \begin{pmatrix}s\\ \ell\end{pmatrix} & s_{j+1}\cdots s_m\\ \ell_1\cdots \ell_{i-1} & & \ell_{j+1}\cdots \ell_m\end{pmatrix}\cdot$$

$$\cdot T_{s,i_k}(s_i,s_{i+1},...,s_j)\frac{\ell!}{(\ell_i-1)!\cdots(\ell_j-1)!},$$

$$\textit{and}\quad s=s_i+s_{i+1}+\cdots+s_j-i_k,\quad \ell=(\ell_i-1)+\cdots+(\ell_j-1).\square$$

Define now the Cauchy data for F.

Lemma 3.5. *The following relations hold*

$$\partial_0F|_{t_0}=-t_0+t_0\ln t_0\quad +\textit{const}\quad \textit{and}\quad \partial_kF|_{t_0}=0\quad \textit{for}\quad k>0.$$

Proof: If $t_1=t_2=\cdots=0$, then $Q_\circ^t=\{w\in\mathbb{C}||w|<t_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$ and thus $w(z,t)|_{t_0}=Rz=t_0^{\frac{1}{2}}z$. Now it follows from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\partial_0F\Big|_{t_0}=\frac{2}{\pi}\int\limits_{|z|\leqslant R}\ln|z|dxdy=\frac{2}{\pi}\int\limits_0^R dr\int\limits_0^{2\pi}d\varphi\cdot r\cdot\ln|r|=$$

$$=\frac{2\cdot 2\pi}{2\pi}\int\limits_0^R\ln|r|dr^2=2(r^2\ln r\Big|_0^R-\int\limits_0^R rdr)=$$

$$=r^2\ln r^2\Big|_0^R-2\frac{1}{2}r^2\Big|_0^R=R^2\ln R^2-R^2=-t_0+t_0\ln t_0.$$

$\partial_kF|_{t_0}=\frac{1}{\pi}\int\limits_{Q_\circ^t}z^kdxdy=0$ for $k>0$. □

Lemma 3.6. *The following relations hold*

$$\partial_i\bar{\partial}_j F|_{t_0} = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{for } i \neq j, \\ i t_0^i & \textit{for } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Proof: It follows from Lemma 3.5 that $\partial_0\partial_k F\big|_{t_0}= 0$ for $k > 0$ and $\partial_0^2 F|_{t_0} = \ln t_0$. Thus,

$$e^{\partial_0(\partial_0+D(z)+\bar{D}(\bar{\xi}))F}\Big|_{t_0}= t_0.$$

Moreover, according to (1.5(c)),

$$1 - e^{-D(z)\bar{D}(\bar{\xi})F} = z^{-1}\bar{\xi}^{-1}e^{\partial_0(\partial_0+D(z)+\bar{D}(\bar{\xi}))F},$$

and thus

$$-D(z)\bar{D}(\bar{\xi})F\Big|_{t_0}= \ln(1 - z^{-1}\bar{\xi}^{-1}t_0) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k z^{-k}\xi^{-k}t_0^k.$$

Therefore, $\partial_i\bar{\partial}_j F\big|_{t_0}= 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\partial_i\bar{\partial}_i F\big|_{t_0}= i t_0^i$ □.

Lemma 3.7. *The following relations hold*

$$\partial_i\bar{\partial}_{i_1}\cdots\bar{\partial}_{i_k}F\Big|_{t_0}= \bar{\partial}_i\partial_{i_1}\cdots\partial_{i_k}F\big|_{t_0}= \begin{cases} 0, & \textit{if} \quad i_1+\cdots+i_k \neq i \\ i_1\cdots i_k\frac{i!}{(i-k+1)!}t_0^{i-k+1}, & \textit{if } i = i_1+\cdots+i_k \end{cases}.$$

Proof: The differentials ∂ and $\bar{\partial}$ enter Equation (1.5) in a symmetric way. This gives the first equality. Moreover, according to Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we have

$$\partial_{i_1}\partial_{i_2}\cdots\partial_{i_k}F = \frac{i_1\cdots i_k}{i}T_{i_1\cdots i_k}\binom{i}{k-1}\partial_0^{k-1}\partial_i F +$$

$$+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_m=i_1+\cdots+i_k\\ \ell_1+\cdots\ell_m=m+k-2\\ s_j,t_j\geqslant 1}}\frac{i_1\cdots i_k}{s_1\cdots s_m}T_{i_1\cdots i_k}\binom{s_1\cdots s_m}{\ell_1\cdots\ell_m}\cdot$$

$$\cdot\partial_0^{\ell_1}\partial_{s_1}F\cdots\partial_0^{\ell_m}\partial_{s_m}F\Big) = \frac{i_1\cdots i_k}{i}\partial_0^{k-1}\partial_i F +$$

$$+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty}\Big(\sum_{\substack{s_1+\cdots+s_m=i_1+\cdots+i_k\\ \ell_1+\cdots\ell_m=m+k-2\\ s_j,t_j\geqslant 1}}\frac{i_1\cdots i_k}{s_1\cdots s_m}T_{i_1\cdots i_k}\binom{s_1\cdots s_m}{\ell_1\cdots\ell_m}\cdot$$

$$\cdot \partial_0^{\ell_1} \partial_{s_1} F \cdots \partial_0^{\ell_m} \partial_{s_m} F \Big),$$

where $i = i_1 + \cdots + i_k$. This equality and Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 together give the second equality in the assertion of Lemma 3.7. □

Lemma 3.8. *The following relation holds*

$$\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_k} \bar\partial_{\bar i_1} \cdots \bar\partial_{\bar i_{\bar k}} F \Big|_{t_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde N_i (i_1 \cdots i_k | \bar i_1 \cdots \bar i_{\bar k}) t_0^{i-(k+\bar k)+2}, \textit{ where } \tilde N_i \big(i_1 \cdots i_k \big| \bar i_1 \cdots \bar i_{\bar k}\big) = 0$$

if $i - (k + \bar k) + 2 < 0$, $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{k} i_j \neq i$ *or* $\sum\limits_{j=1}^{\bar k} \bar i_j \neq i$. *In opposite case,* $\tilde N_i \big(i_1 \cdots i_{i_k} \big| \bar i_1, \cdots \bar i_{\bar k}\big) =$

$$= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{\substack{s_1 + \cdots + s_m = i_1 + \cdots + i_k \\ \ell_1 + \cdots \ell_m = m + k - 2 \\ s_j, \ell_j \geqslant 1}} i_1 \cdots i_k \bar i_k \cdots \bar i_{\bar k} \cdot$$

$$\cdot T_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \cdots s_m \\ \ell_1 \cdots \ell_m \end{pmatrix} S_{\bar i_1 \cdots \bar i_{\bar k}} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \cdots s_m \\ \ell_1 \cdots \ell_m \end{pmatrix} \Big),$$

where

$$S_{\bar i_1 \cdots \bar i_{\bar k}} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \cdots s_m \\ \ell_1 \cdots \ell_m \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{n_1 + \cdots + n_m = k \\ s_i - n_i + 1 - \ell_i \geqslant 0}} \sum \frac{(s_1 - 1)! \cdots (s_m - 1)!}{(s_1 - N_1 + 1 - \ell_1)! \cdots (s_m - n_m + 1 - \ell_m)!}$$

and the second summation is carried over all partitions of the set $\{\bar i_1, ..., \bar i_{\bar k}\}$ *into subsets* $\{j_1^p, ..., j_{n_p}^p\}$ $(p = 1, ..., m)$ *such that* $\sum\limits_{\alpha=1}^{n_p} j_\alpha^p = s_p$. □

Proof: According to Lemma 3.4,

$$\partial_{i_1} \cdots \partial_{i_k} \bar\partial_{\bar i_1} \cdots \bar\partial_{\bar i_{\bar k}} F = \bar\partial_{\bar i_{\bar i}} \cdots \bar\partial_{\bar i_{\bar k}} \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{\substack{s_1 + \cdots + s_m = i_1 + \cdots + i_k \\ \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_m = m + k - 2 \\ s_j, \ell_j \geqslant 1}} \frac{i_1 \cdots i_k}{s_1 \cdots s_m} \cdot$$

$$\cdot\, T_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \cdots s_m \\ \ell_1 \cdots \ell_m \end{pmatrix} \partial_0^{\ell_1} \partial_{s_1} F \cdots \partial_0^{\ell_m} \partial_{s_m} F \Big) \Big) =$$

$$= \sum \Big(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \Big(\sum_{\substack{s_1 + \cdots + s_m = i_1 + \cdots + i_k \\ \ell_1 + \cdots + \ell_m = m + k - 2 \\ s_j, \ell_j \geqslant 1}} \frac{i_1 \cdots i_k}{s_1 \cdots s_m} T_{i_1 \cdots i_k} \begin{pmatrix} s_1 \cdots s_m \\ \ell_1 \cdots \ell_m \end{pmatrix} \cdot$$

$$\cdot\, \partial_0^{\ell_1}\partial_{s_1}\bar\partial^1_{\bar j_1}\cdots\bar\partial^1_{\bar j_{n_1}}F\cdots\partial_0^{\ell_m}\partial_{s_m}\bar\partial^m_{\bar j_1}\cdots\bar\partial^m_{\bar j_{n_m}}F\Big)\Big),$$

where the first summation is carried over all partitions of $\{\bar i_1,...,\bar i_{\bar k}\}$ into subsets $\{(j^1_i,...,j^1_{n_1})...(j^m_1,...,j^m_{n_m})\}$. According to Lemma 3.7, this gives the assertion of Lemma 3.8 □.

Lemma 3.8 yields

Lemma 3.9 *The following relation holds*

$$F=\frac{1}{2}t_0^2\log t_0-\frac{3}{4}t_0^2+\sum_{\substack{i_1<\cdots<i_k\\ \bar i_1<\cdots\bar i_{\bar k}}}\ \sum_{n_j,\bar n_j=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_1!\cdots n_k!\bar n_1!\cdots\bar n_{\bar k}!}\tilde N_i\left(\begin{matrix}i_1...i_k\\ n_1...n_k\end{matrix}\middle|\begin{matrix}\bar i_1...\bar i_{\bar k}\\ \bar n_1...\bar n_{\bar k}\end{matrix}\right)\cdot$$

$$\cdot t_0^{\,i-(\sum_{i=1}^{k}n_i+\sum_{i=1}^{\bar k}\bar n_i)+2}\ t_{i_1}^{n_1}\cdots t_{i_k}^{n_k}\bar t_{\bar i_1}^{\bar n_1}\cdots\bar t_{\bar i_{\bar k}}^{\bar n_{\bar k}},$$

where

$$\tilde N_i\left(\begin{matrix}i_1...i_k\\ n_1...n_k\end{matrix}\middle|\begin{matrix}\bar i_1...\bar i_{\bar k}\\ \bar n_1...\bar n_{\bar k}\end{matrix}\right)=0,$$

if $i-(\sum_{i=1}^{k}n_i+\sum_{i=1}^{\bar k}\bar n_i)+2<0,\ i\neq\sum_{j=1}^{k}n_ji_j$ *or* $i\neq\sum_{j=1}^{\bar k}\bar n_j\bar i_j$, *and*

$$\tilde N_i\left(\begin{matrix}i_1...i_k\\ n_1...n_k\end{matrix}\middle|\begin{matrix}\bar i_1...\bar i_{\bar k}\\ |n_1...\bar n_{\bar k}\end{matrix}\right)=\tilde N_i(i_1...i_1i_2...i_2...i_k...i_k|\bar i_1...\bar i_1\bar i_2...\bar i_2...\bar i_{\bar k}...\bar i_{\bar k}),$$

otherwise; here in the last parentheses each i_j *(respectively, each* $\bar i_j$ *) occurs* n_j *(respectively,* $\bar n_j$*) times.*

Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to Lemma 3.9.

Using the algorithm of the present paper, Yu. Klimov and A. Korzh created a computer program for calculating the coefficients of the Taylor series F.

4. Convergence conditions of the Taylor series for the potential.

The combinatorial coefficients $N^2_i(...)$ have some remarkable properties. For example,

Theorem 4.1. $N_i^2\left(\begin{matrix}i_1\cdots i_k\\ n_1\cdots n_k\end{matrix}\middle|\begin{matrix}1\\ \bar n_1\end{matrix}\right)=\begin{cases}(i-1)!, & \textit{if } k=n_1=1,\ i=i_1=\bar n_1,\\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$

Proof. According to our definition,

$$S_{\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{\bar k}}\begin{pmatrix}s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix}=$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{\{\bar i_1^1,...,\bar i_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\{\bar i_m^1,...,\bar i_m^{n_m}\}=\\ =\{\underbrace{1,\dots,1}\}\\ \bar i_r^1+\cdots+\bar i_r^{n_r}=s_r\\ s_r-n_r-\ell_r+1\geqslant0}}\frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1-l_1+1)!(l_1-1)!}\times\cdots\times$$

$$\times \dots \times \frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m-l_m+1)!(l_m-1)!} \; = \delta_{\ell_1,1}\dots\delta_{\ell_m,1}\frac{\bar{k}!}{s_1\dots s_m}.$$

Thus if $k>2$, then

$$N_i^1(i_1,\dots,i_k|1,\dots,1) \; =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+\dots+i_k\\ l_1+\dots+l_m=m+k-2\\ s_r,l_r\geqslant 1}} (-1)^{m+1} \; S_{1,\dots,1}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix}\times$$

$$\times \; T^2_{i_1,\dots,i_k}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix} = 0 \; .$$

Let now $k=2$ $(i_1,i_2\geqslant 1)$. Then

$$N_i^1(i_1,i_2|\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{\bar{k}}) \; =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+i_2\\ s_r\geqslant 1}} (-1)^{m+1} \; S_{\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{\bar{k}}}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ 1, & \dots, & 1\end{pmatrix}\times$$

$$\times \; T^2_{i_1,i_2}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ 1, & \dots, & 1\end{pmatrix} \; =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+i_2\\ s_r\geqslant 1}} (-1)^{m+1}\frac{\bar{k}!}{s_1\dots s_m} \; T^2_{i_1,i_2}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ 1, & \dots, & 1\end{pmatrix} \; =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+i_2\\ s_r\geqslant 1}} (-1)^{m+1}\frac{\bar{k}!}{s_1\dots s_m} \; T^1_{i_1,i_2}(s_1,\dots,s_m) \; =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+i_2\\ s_r\geqslant 1}} (-1)^{m+1}\frac{\bar{k}!}{s_1\dots s_m} \sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 1\\ n_1+\dots+n_k=m\\ n_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{1}{kn_1!\dots n_k!}\times$$

$$\times \; P_{i,j}\left(\underbrace{s_1+\dots+s_{n_1}}_{n_1},\dots,\underbrace{s_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}+1}+\dots+s_{n_1+\dots+n_k}}_{n_k}\right) \; =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+i_2\\ k\geqslant 1\\ n_1+\dots+n_k=m}} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{kn_1!\dots n_k!}\frac{\bar{k}!}{s_1\dots s_m}\times$$

$$\times\ P_{i,j}\left(\underbrace{s_1+\dots+s_{n_1}}_{n_1},\dots,\underbrace{s_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}+1}+\dots+s_{n_1+\dots+n_k}}_{n_k}\right) =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 1\\ \tilde{s}_1+\dots+\tilde{s}_k=i_1+i_2}} P_{i,j}(\tilde{s}_1,\dots,\tilde{s}_k)\times$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{n_r\geqslant 1,\ n_1+\dots+n_k=m\\ \underbrace{s_{n_1+\dots+n_{r-1}+1}+\dots+s_{n_1+\dots+n_r}}_{n_r}=\tilde{s}_r}} \frac{(-1)^{m+1}}{kn_1!\dots n_k!}\frac{\bar{k}!}{s_1\dots s_m} =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 1\\ \tilde{s}_1+\dots+\tilde{s}_k=i_1+i_2}} -\frac{\bar{k}!}{k}\ P_{i,j}(\tilde{s}_1,\dots,\tilde{s}_k)\times$$

$$\times \prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant k}\ \sum_{\substack{n_r\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_{n_r}=\tilde{s}_r}} \frac{(-1)^{n_r}}{n_r!s_1\dots s_{n_r}}\ .$$

In addition, if $s>1$, then

$$\sum_{\substack{n\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_n=s}} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!s_1\dots s_n} = \frac{1}{s!}\frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s}\ \sum_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\left(x+\frac{x^2}{2}+\frac{x^3}{3}+\dots\right)^n\Bigg|_{x=0} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{s!}\frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s}\ \sum_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{(-1)^n}{n!}\ (-\log(1-x))^n\big|_{x=0} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{s!}\frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s}\ \sum_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{(\log(1-x))^n}{n!}\Bigg|_{x-0} = \frac{1}{s!}\frac{\partial^s}{\partial x^s}\ \ (\exp(\log(1-x))-1)\big|_{x=0} = 0.$$

Thus

$$N_i^1(i_1,i_2|\underbrace{1,\dots,1}_{\bar{k}}) = \sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 1\\ \tilde{s}_1+\dots+\tilde{s}_k=i_1+i_2}} -\frac{\bar{k}!}{k}\ P_{i,j}(\tilde{s}_1,\dots,\tilde{s}_k)\times$$

$$\times \prod_{1\leqslant r\leqslant k} \sum_{\substack{n_r\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_{n_r}=\tilde{s}_r}} \frac{(-1)^{n_r}}{n_r! s_1\dots s_{n_r}} = 0\ ,$$

since $P_{i,j}(1,\dots,1)\ = 0$.

If $k=1$, then it follows from our definition that

$$N_i^2\left(\begin{array}{c|c} i_1 & 1\\ n_1 & \bar{n}_1\end{array}\right) = \begin{cases} (i-1)!, \text{ if } n_1 = 1,\ i = i_1 = \bar{n}_1, \\ 0 \ \text{ otherwise.}\end{cases} . \qquad \square$$

If $t_i, \bar{t}_i = 0$ for $i>2$, then ∂Q is an ellipse. In this case Theorem 3.1 gives

$$F = -\frac{3}{4}t_0^2 + \frac{1}{2}t_0^2\log\Big(\frac{t_0}{1-4|t_2|^2}\Big) + \frac{t_0}{1-4|t_2|^2}\big(|t_1|^2 + t_1^2\bar{t}_2 + \bar{t}_1^2 t_2\big).$$

This formula was obtained first in [4] by using formulas for conformal maps from an ellipse to the circle.

The recursive formulas for coefficients of the Taylor series F give a possibility to estimate the coefficients and to find sufficient convergence conditions for F provided $t_i, \bar{t}_i = 0$ for $i>n$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let* $\tilde{t} = (t_0, t_1, \bar{t}_1, t_2, \bar{t}_2, \dots)$ *be such that* $t_i, \bar{t}_i = 0$ *for* $i>n, 0<t_0<1$ *and* $|t_i|,\ |\bar{t}_i| \leqslant (4n^3 2^n e^n)^{-1}$. *Then the series* $F(\tilde{t})$ *converges.*

The proof is based on a sequence of estimations of all values used in the definition of N^2. Here are the estimates:

Lemma 4.1. *Let* $i+j\ =\ s_1+\dots+s_m$. *Then* $P_{ij}(s_1\dots,s_m) \leqslant \min(C_{i-1}^{m-1}, C_{j-1}^{m-1})$.

Proof.

$$P_{j,i}(s_1,\dots,s_m)\ = P_{i,j}(s_1,\dots,s_m)\ =\ \#\{(i_1,\dots,i_m)\mid i\ =$$
$$=\ i_1+\dots+i_m,\ 1\leqslant i_r\leqslant s_r-1\}\ \leqslant$$
$$\leqslant\ \#\{(i_1,\dots,i_m)|i = i_1+\dots+i_m, 1\leqslant i_r\}\ =\ C_{i-1}^{m-1}\ . \qquad \square$$

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $i+j\ =\ s_1+\dots+s_m$. *Then* $T_{ij}^1(s_1,\dots,s_m) \leqslant \frac{\ell^{m-1}}{m!}$, *where* $\ell = \min(i,j)$.

Proof.

$$T_{j,i}^1(s_1,\dots,s_m)\ = T_{i,j}^1(s_1,\dots,s_m)\ =\ \sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 1\\ n_1+\dots+n_k=m\\ n_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{1}{kn_1!\dots n_k!}\times$$

$$\times\ P_{i,j}\left(\underbrace{s_1+\dots+s_{n_1}}_{n_1},\dots,\underbrace{s_{n_1+\dots+n_{k-1}+1}+\dots+s_{n_1+\dots+n_k}}_{n_k}\right)\ \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 1\\ n_1+\dots+n_k=m\\ n_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{C_{i-1}^{k-1}}{kn_1!\dots n_k!} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{m!}\,\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}\,\frac{1}{i}\sum_{k\geqslant 1} C_i^k \sum_{n_1+\dots+n_k=m} \frac{1}{n_1!\dots n_k!} =$$

$$\frac{1}{m!}\,\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}\,\frac{1}{i}\sum_{k\geqslant 1} C_i^k \left(x+\frac{x^2}{2!}+\dots\right)^k\Bigg|_{x=0} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{m!}\,\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}\,\frac{1}{i}\left(\sum_{k\geqslant 1} C_i^k (e^x-1)^k\right)\Bigg|_{x=0} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{m!}\,\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}\,\frac{1}{i}\,\left((1+(e^x-1))^i-1\right)\Big|_{x=0} = \frac{1}{m!}\frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m}\,\frac{1}{i}\,(e^{ix}-1)\Big|_{x=0} = \frac{i^{m-1}}{m!}\,. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $i_1+\dots+i_k = s_1+\dots+s_m$ *and* $(\ell_1-1)+\dots+(\ell_m-1)=k-2$. *Then* $T^2_{i_1\dots,i_k}\begin{pmatrix} s_1\cdots s_m\\ \ell_1\cdots\ell_m\end{pmatrix} \leqslant \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^m(k-2)!}{m!}$, *where* $I=\max(i_r)$.

Proof. We use induction by k. If $k=2$, then

$$T^2_{i_1,i_2}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ 1, & \dots, & 1\end{pmatrix} = T^1_{i_1,i_2}(s_1,\dots,s_m) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \frac{I^{m-1}}{m!} = \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^m(k-2)!}{m!}\Bigg|_{k\,=\,2}\,.$$

Let $k>2$. Note firstly that if $l=(l_i-1)+\dots+(l_j-1)$, then

$$\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m,\ j-i=d} \frac{l}{(k-2)(d+1)} = \frac{\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m,\ j-i=d}(l_i-1)+\dots+(l_j-1)}{(k-2)(d+1)} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \frac{\sum_{1\leqslant t\leqslant m}(l_t-1)(d+1)}{(k-2)(d+1)} = 1.$$

Thus

$$T^2_{i_1,\dots,i_k}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix} = \sum_{\substack{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m\\ s,\ l\geqslant 1}} l\, T^1_{s,i_k}(s_i,\dots,s_j)\ \times$$

$$\times\, T^2_{i_1,\dots,i_{k-1}}\begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_{i-1}, & s, & s_{j+1}, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_{i-1}, & l, & l_{j+1}, & \dots, & l_m\end{pmatrix} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m} l\frac{I^{j-i}}{(j-i+1)!}\frac{I^{m-(j-i+1)+1-1}(k-2)^{m-(j-i+1)+1}(k-3)!}{(m-(j-i+1)+1)!} =$$

$$= I^{m-1}(k-3)!\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m} l\frac{(k-2)^{m-(j-i)}}{(j-i+1)!(m-(j-i))!} =$$

$$= \frac{I^{m-1}(k-2)!}{m!}\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m,\ d=j-i}\frac{l}{(k-2)(d+1)}\frac{m!}{d!(m-d)!}(k-2)^{m-d} =$$

$$= \frac{I^{m-1}(k-2)!}{m!}\sum_{d=0}^{m-1}\frac{m!}{d!(m-d)!}(k-2)^{m-d}\left(\sum_{1\leqslant i\leqslant j\leqslant m,\ j-i=d}\frac{l}{(k-2)(d+1)}\right) \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \frac{I^{m-1}(k-2)!}{m!}\sum_{d=0}^{m-1}\frac{m!}{d!(m-d)!}(k-2)^{m-d} \leqslant \frac{I^{m-1}(k-2)!}{m!}\sum_{t=0,t=m-d}^{m}C_m^t(k-2)^t =$$

$$= \frac{I^{m-1}(k-2)!}{m!}((k-2)+1)^m = \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^m(k-2)!}{m!}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\bar I = max(\bar i_r)$ and*

$$\tilde S_{\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}}(m,k) = \sum_{\substack{\{\bar i_1^1,\dots,\bar i_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\{\bar i_m^1,\dots,\bar i_m^{n_m}\}=\\ =\{\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}\}\\ (l_1-1)+\cdots+(l_m-1)=k-2\\ n_r,\ l_r\geqslant 1\\ s_r=\bar i_r^1+\cdots+\bar i_r^{n_r}\\ s_r-n_r-\ell_r+1\geqslant 0}}\frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1-l_1+1)!(l_1-1)!}\times\cdots\times$$

$$\times\frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m-l_m+1)!(l_m-1)!}.$$

Then $\tilde S_{\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}}(m,k) \leqslant m(\bar k-1)!C^{k-2}_{\bar I\bar k-\bar k}C^{\bar k-m}_{\bar I\bar k}$.

Proof. We use the equality

$$\sum_{\tilde n_1+\cdots+\tilde n_m=\bar k-m} C^{\tilde n_1}_{\bar I\tilde n_1+\bar I}\times\cdots\times C^{\tilde n_m}_{\bar I\tilde n_m+\bar I}\frac{\bar I}{\bar I\tilde n_1+\bar I}\times\cdots\times\frac{\bar I}{\bar I\tilde n_m+\bar I} =$$

$$= C^{\bar k-m}_{\bar I(\bar k-m)+m\bar I}\frac{m\bar I}{\bar I(\bar k-m)+m\bar I}$$

which follows from [13]. Then

$$\tilde S_{\bar i_1,\dots,\bar i_{\bar k}}(m,k) =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\{\bar{i}_1^1,\dots,\bar{i}_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\{\bar{i}_m^1,\dots,\bar{i}_m^{n_m}\}= \\ =\{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\} \\ (l_1-1)+\cdots+(l_m-1)=k-2 \\ n_r,\ l_r\geqslant 1 \\ s_r=\bar{i}_r^1+\cdots+\bar{i}_r^{n_r} \\ s_r-n_r-\ell_r+1\geqslant 0}} \frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1-l_1+1)!(l_1-1)!} \times\cdots\times$$

$$\times \frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m-l_m+1)!(l_m-1)!} =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\{\bar{i}_1^1,\dots,\bar{i}_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\{\bar{i}_m^1,\dots,\bar{i}_m^{n_m}\}= \\ =\{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\} \\ s_r=\bar{i}_r^1+\cdots+\bar{i}_r^{n_r}\geqslant n_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1)!} \times\cdots\times \frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m)!}\times$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{(l_1-1)+\cdots+(l_m-1)=k-2 \\ s_r-n_r-\ell_r+1\geqslant 0}} \frac{(s_1-n_1)!}{(s_1-n_1-l_1+1)!(l_1-1)!} \times\cdots\times$$

$$\times \frac{(s_m-n_m)!}{(s_m-n_m-l_m+1)!(l_m-1)!} =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\{\bar{i}_1^1,\dots,\bar{i}_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\{\bar{i}_m^1,\dots,\bar{i}_m^{n_m}\}= \\ =\{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\} \\ s_r=\bar{i}_r^1+\cdots+\bar{i}_r^{n_r}\geqslant n_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1)!} \times\cdots\times$$

$$\times \frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m)!} \sum_{\tilde{l}_1+\cdots+\tilde{l}_m=k-2} C_{s_1-n_1}^{\tilde{l}_1}\dots C_{s_m-n_m}^{\tilde{l}_m} =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\{\bar{i}_1^1,\dots,\bar{i}_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\{\bar{i}_m^1,\dots,\bar{i}_m^{n_m}\}= \\ =\{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\} \\ s_r=\bar{i}_r^1+\cdots+\bar{i}_r^{n_r}\geqslant n_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1)!} \times\cdots\times$$

$$\times \frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m)!} C^{k-2}_{(s_1+\cdots+s_m)-(n_1+\cdots+n_m)} =$$

$$= C^{k-2}_{\bar{i}_1+\cdots+\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}-\bar{k}} \sum_{\substack{\{\bar{i}_1^1,\dots,\bar{i}_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\cdots\sqcup\{\bar{i}_m^1,\dots,\bar{i}_m^{n_m}\}= \\ =\{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\} \\ s_r=\bar{i}_r^1+\cdots+\bar{i}_r^{n_r}\geqslant n_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1)!} \times\cdots\times \frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m)!} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \tilde{S}_{\underbrace{\bar{I},\dots,\bar{I}}_{\bar{k}}}(m,k) = C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} \sum_{\substack{\{\bar{i}_1^1,\dots,\bar{i}_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\cdots\sqcup \\ \sqcup\{\bar{i}_m^1,\dots,\bar{i}_m^{n_m}\}= \\ =\{\bar{I},\dots,\bar{I}\},\ n_r\geqslant 1 \\ s_r=\bar{i}_r^1+\cdots+\bar{i}_r^{n_r}=In_r}} \frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1)!} \times\cdots\times \frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m)!} =$$

$$= C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} \sum_{\substack{n_1+\dots+n_m=\bar{k}\\ n_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{\bar{k}!}{n_1!\dots n_m!} \frac{(\bar{I}n_1-1)!}{(\bar{I}n_1-n_1)!} \times\dots\times \frac{(\bar{I}n_m-1)!}{(\bar{I}n_m-n_m)!} =$$

$$= \bar{k}! C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{n}_1+\dots+\tilde{n}_m=\bar{k}-m\\ \tilde{n}_r=n_r-1\geqslant 0}} \frac{(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_1+\bar{I}-1)!}{(\tilde{n}_1+1)!(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_1+\bar{I}-\tilde{n}_1-1)!} \times\dots\times$$

$$\times \frac{(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_m+\bar{I}-1)!}{(\tilde{n}_m+1)!(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_m+\bar{I}-\tilde{n}_m-1)!} =$$

$$= \bar{k}! C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} \sum_{\tilde{n}_1+\dots+\tilde{n}_m=\bar{k}-m} \frac{\bar{I}\tilde{n}_1+\bar{I}-\tilde{n}_1}{(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_1+\bar{I})(\tilde{n}_1+1)} \frac{(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_1+\bar{I})!}{\tilde{n}_1!(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_1+\bar{I}-\tilde{n}_1)!} \times\dots\times$$

$$\times \frac{\bar{I}\tilde{n}_m+\bar{I}-\tilde{n}_m}{(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_m+\bar{I})(\tilde{n}_m+1)} \frac{(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_m+\bar{I})!}{\tilde{n}_m!(\bar{I}\tilde{n}_m+\bar{I}-\tilde{n}_m)!} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \bar{k}! C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} \sum_{\tilde{n}_1+\dots+\tilde{n}_m=\bar{k}-m} \frac{\bar{I}}{\bar{I}\tilde{n}_1+\bar{I}} C^{\tilde{n}_1}_{\bar{I}\tilde{n}_1+\bar{I}} \times\dots\times \frac{\bar{I}}{\bar{I}\tilde{n}_m+\bar{I}} C^{\tilde{n}_m}_{\bar{I}\tilde{n}_m+\bar{I}} =$$

$$= \bar{k}! C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} C^{\bar{k}-m}_{\bar{I}(\bar{k}-m)+m\bar{I}} \frac{m\bar{I}}{\bar{I}(\bar{k}-m)+m\bar{I}} \leqslant m(\bar{k}-1)! C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} C^{\bar{k}-m}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}} . \quad \square$$

Lemma 4.5. $N^1_i(i_1\dots,i_k|\bar{i}_1\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}) \leqslant (k-1)!(\bar{k}-1)! e^{I(k-1)} 2^{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} 2^{\bar{I}\bar{k}}$.

Proof.

$$N^1_i(i_1,\dots,i_k|\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}) =$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+\dots+i_k\\ l_1+\dots+l_m=m+k-2\\ s_r,l_r\geqslant 1}} (-1)^{m+1}\, S_{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}} \begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m \end{pmatrix} \times$$

$$\times\, T^2_{i_1,\dots,i_k} \begin{pmatrix} s_1, & \dots, & s_m\\ l_1, & \dots, & l_m \end{pmatrix} \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{\substack{m\geqslant 1\\ s_1+\dots+s_m=i_1+\dots+i_k\\ l_1+\dots+l_m=m+k-2\\ s_r,l_r\geqslant 1}} \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^m(k-2)!}{m!} \times$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\{\bar{i}^1_1,\dots,\bar{i}^{n_1}_1\}\sqcup\dots\sqcup\{\bar{i}^1_m,\dots,\bar{i}^{n_m}_m\}=\\ =\{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\}\\ \bar{i}^1_r+\dots+\bar{i}^{n_r}_r=s_r\\ s_r-n_r-\ell_r+1\geqslant 0}} \frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1-l_1+1)!(l_1-1)!} \times\dots\times$$

$$\times \frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m-l_m+1)!(l_m-1)!} =$$

$$= \sum_{m\geqslant 1} \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^{m-1}(k-2)!}{m!}\times$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{\{\bar{i}_1^1,\dots,\bar{i}_1^{n_1}\}\sqcup\dots\sqcup\{\bar{i}_m^1,\dots,\bar{i}_m^{n_m}\}= \\ =\{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}\} \\ (l_1-1)+\dots+(l_m-1)=k-2 \\ n_r,\ l_r\geqslant 1 \\ s_r=\bar{i}_r^1+\dots+\bar{i}_r^{n_r} \\ s_r-n_r-\ell_r+1\geqslant 0}} \frac{(s_1-1)!}{(s_1-n_1-l_1+1)!(l_1-1)!}\times\dots\times$$

$$\times\frac{(s_m-1)!}{(s_m-n_m-l_m+1)!(l_m-1)!} =$$

$$= \sum_{m\geqslant 1} \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^{m-1}(k-2)!}{m!}\ \tilde{S}_{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}}(m,k) \ \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{m\geqslant 1} \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^{m}(k-2)!}{m!}\ \tilde{S}_{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{k}}}(m,k) \ \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{m\geqslant 1} \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^{m}(k-2)!}{m!} m(\bar{k}-1)! C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} C^{\bar{k}-m}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}} \ =$$

$$= \ (k-1)!(\bar{k}-1)! \sum_{m\geqslant 1} \frac{I^{m-1}(k-1)^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}\ C^{k-2}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}}\ C^{\bar{k}-m}_{\bar{I}\bar{k}} \ \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \ (k-1)!(\bar{k}-1)! e^{I(k-1)} 2^{\bar{I}\bar{k}-\bar{k}} 2^{\bar{I}\bar{k}} \ . \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The coefficient of $t_0^{\cdot\cdot} t_{i_1}^{n_1}\dots t_{i_I}^{n_I} \bar{t}_{\bar{i}_1}^{\bar{n}_1}\dots \bar{t}_{\bar{i}_{\bar{I}}}^{\bar{n}_{\bar{I}}}$ is equal to

$$\frac{i_1^{n_1}\dots i_I^{n_I}}{n_1!\dots n_I!}\ \frac{\bar{i}_1^{\bar{n}_1}\dots \bar{i}_{\bar{I}}^{\bar{n}_{\bar{I}}}}{\bar{n}_1!\dots \bar{n}_{\bar{I}}!}\ N_i^2 \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} i_1, & \dots, & i_I & \bar{i}_1, & \dots, & \bar{i}_{\bar{I}} \\ n_1, & \dots, & n_I & \bar{n}_1, & \dots, & \bar{n}_{\bar{I}} \end{array}\right) \ =$$

$$= \ \frac{i_1^{n_1}\dots i_I^{n_I}}{n_1!\dots n_I!}\ \frac{\bar{i}_1^{\bar{n}_1}\dots \bar{i}_{\bar{I}}^{\bar{n}_{\bar{I}}}}{\bar{n}_1!\dots \bar{n}_{\bar{I}}!}\ N_i^1 \left(\underbrace{i_1,\dots,i_1}_{n_1},\dots,\underbrace{i_I,\dots,i_I}_{n_I} \middle| \underbrace{\bar{i}_1,\dots,\bar{i}_1}_{\bar{n}_1},\dots,\underbrace{\bar{i}_{\bar{k}},\dots,\bar{i}_{\bar{I}}}_{\bar{n}_{\bar{I}}}\right) \ \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant \ \frac{i_1^{n_1}\dots i_I^{n_I}}{n_1!\dots n_I!}\ \frac{\bar{i}_1^{\bar{n}_1}\dots \bar{i}_{\bar{I}}^{\bar{n}_{\bar{I}}}}{\bar{n}_1!\dots \bar{n}_{\bar{I}}!} k!\bar{k}! e^{\tilde{I}(k-1)} 2^{2\tilde{I}\bar{k}} \ \leqslant\ \tilde{I}^K e^{\tilde{I}K} 2^{\tilde{I}K} \frac{k!\bar{k}!}{n_1!\dots n_I!\bar{n}_1!\dots\bar{n}_{\bar{I}}!} \ \leqslant$$

$$\leqslant\ \tilde{I}^K e^{\tilde{I}K} 2^{\tilde{I}K} \tilde{I}^K \ \leqslant\ (\tilde{I}^2 2^{\tilde{I}} e^{\tilde{I}})^K \ ,$$

where $k = n_1 + \cdots + n_I$, $\bar{k} = \bar{n}_1 + \cdots + \bar{n}_I$, $K = k + \bar{k}$ and $\tilde{I} = max(I, \bar{I})$.

Now consider monomials of degree K in $t_0, t_1, \bar{t}_1, \ldots, t_n, \bar{t}_n$. The number of such monomial is $(2n)^K$. Thus, their sum in the series is at most

$$(n^2 2^n e^n)^K (2n)^K (4n^3 2^n e^n)^{-K} \leqslant 2^{-K} .$$

This implies the convergence of the series $F(\tilde{t})$. $\square$

References

1. A.N.Varchenko, P.I.Etingof, Why the boundary of a round drop becomes a curve of order four. University Lecture Series, 3. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI,1992.

2. P.S.Novikov, On uniqueness of the solution of the inverse problem for a potential. Soviet Math.Dok. 1938, v.18 N 3, 165-168.

3. A.Marshakov, A.Zabrodin, On the Dirichlet boundary problem and Hirota equations, e-print archiv: hep-th/0305259.

4. P.Wiegmann, A.Zabrodin, Conformal maps and dispersionless integrable hierarchies, Commun. Math.Phys. 213 (2000), 523.

5. K.Takasaki, T.Takebe, Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit. Rev. Math. Phys. 7(1995), 743-808.

6. R.Dijkgraaf, G.Moor, R.Plessner, The partition function of two-dimensional string theory, Nucl. Phys, B394 (1993), 356-382.

7. L.L.Chau, A.Zaboronsky, On the structure of correlation functions in the normal matrix model, Commun. Math. Thys., 196, 203 (1998).

8. S.Natanzon, Integrable systems and effectivisation of Riemann theorem about domains of the complex plane, e-print archiv: math.CV/0103136.

9. Yu.Klimov, A.Korzh, S.Natanzon, From $2D$ Toda hierarchy to conformal maps for domains of Riemann sphere, e-print archiv: math.NA/0212361.

10. A.Hurwitz, R.Courant, Vorlesungen über allgemeine Funktionentheorie und elliptische Funktionen. Herausgegeben und ergänzt durch einen Abschnitt über geometrische Funktionentheorie, Springer-Verlag, 1964.

11. I.K.Kostov, I.Krichever, M.Mineev–Weinstein, P.B.Wiegmann, A.Zabrodin, τ-function for analytic curves, Random matrices and their applications, MSRI publication, v.40, Cambridge Acabemic Press, 2001.

12. S.M.Natanzon, Formulas for A_n and B_n–solutions of WDVV equations, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 39/4, (2001), 323-336.

13. R.Graham, D.Knuth, O.Patashnik, Concrete mathematics, Addison-Wesley publishing Company Reading MA, 1994.

14. L.A.Takhtajan, Free boson tau-functions for compact Riemann surfaces and closed smooth Jordan curves. Currents correlation functions. Lett. Math. Phys. 56 (2001), 181-228.

15. A.Marshakov, P.B.Wiegmann, A.Zabrodin, Integrable structure of the Dirichlet boundary problem in two dimentions, Commun.Math.Phys.227(2002) 131-153

16. I.Krichever, A.Marshakov, A.Zabrodin, Integrable structure of the Dirichlet boundary problem in multiply-connected domains, e-print archiv: hep-th/0309010.